EXHIBIT M

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

DVIR UNGAR, et al.,

٧.

Plaintiffs,

. Washington, D.C.

. Tuesday, January 15, 2002 . 9:44 a.m.

. Docket No. CA 00-2606 JR

THE ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN, et al.,

Defendants.

FILED

FEB 1 3 2002

NANCY MAYER WHITTINGTON, CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT

TRANSCRIPT OF EVIDENTIARY HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES ROBERTSON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiffs:

MCINTYRE, TATE, LYNCH, AND HOLT

DAVID J. STRACHMAN, ESQ. 321 South Main Street

Suite 400

Providence, Rhode Island 02903

Phone: 401-351-7700

For the Defendants:

(No appearances)

Court Reporter:

DENNIS A. DINKEL, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter Room 6818, U.S. Courthouse Washington, D.C. 20001 PHONE: (202) 289-8661

Proceedings reported by machine shorthand, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription

just to tell the head of the anti-terrorist branch of the CIA 1 on this time, Winston Canistrano [phonetic], I hope I pronounce 2 the name good, he was -- he said in 1995, that we know about 3 Iran; we have to fight against Iran, because today they're 4 doing -- they're training people to put bombs in Jerusalem and 5 in Tel Aviv and tomorrow, that's what he said exactly, it's 6 going to be -- the bomb is going to be in Washington. He was 7 not far away. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Shaked, thank you very much, sir. 9 MR. STRACHMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 That's all, Mr. Shaked. 11 (Witness excused.) 12 MR. STRACHMAN: We have our next witness, Judge. 13 think he's in the hall. 14 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Would the witness step forward, 15 16 please? REUVAN PAZ, PLAINTIFFS' WITNESS, AFFIRMED 17 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Would you please be seated and 18 19 speak into the microphone, sir? DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. STRACHMAN: 21 Your name, sir? 22 Q. 23 A. Reuven Paz. Okay. Dr. Paz, what is your field of expertise? 24 Q. My field of expertise is Palestinian society and politics, 25 A.

and mainly Islamic movements all over the world. 1 And what -- you have a Ph.D. in what subject, sir? 2 The development of the Palestinian Islamic groups between A. 3 '67 and '88. 4 And have you qualified as an expert witness in this very 5 courthouse on several other occasions? 6 This is actually my fifth expert testimony. 7 Okay. And do you -- have you written about Palestinian 8 terrorism? 9 I have written mainly about -- mainly about Hamas Yes. 10 and the Palestinian Islamic jihad. 11 Okay. Where are you currently employed? 12 0. Well, right now I am senior research fellow at the 13 International Policy Institute for Contraterrorism in Israel; 14 and since last October, I'm developing a new project of the 15 research of radical Islam or radical Islamic movements in 16 Israel. 17 Dr. Paz, I'm going you what has been marked for 18 identification number 4. Is that your CV? 19 A. Yes. That's right. 20 MR. STRACHMAN: Your Honor, I'd like to offer this as 21 a full exhibit. 22 THE COURT: Exhibit 4 will be received. 23 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 4 was 24 received into evidence.) 25

BY MR. STRACHMAN:

Q. Your Honor, based on the testimony and the CV, I'd ask that Dr. Paz be admitted as a -- offer him rather as an expert in Palestinian terrorism and terrorist groups?

THE COURT: Yes. He -- his testimony, his expert testimony in those areas will be received.

MR. STRACHMAN: Thank you.

BY MR. STRACHMAN:

- Q. Now, Dr. Paz, could you tell us about the relationship -the relationship between Hamas, Iran, its genesis and what
 brings the two together?
- A. In the first two or three years of the intifadah, the Palestinian uprising, and the establishment of Hamas on December '87, Hamas, a Sunni movement of the Muslim brotherhood, was opposing actually the Islamic ideology of the Iranian regime and did not tend at that time to cooperate with the Iranians; and actually, the turning point was mainly in '91 following the Gulf War where unlike the PLO and the Palestinian national leadership, which sided totally with the Iraqis, Hamas as a rival of the PLO, started to side with the Saudis, the Kuwaitis, and therefore this was one of the main reasons for a change in the policy and the ideology of Hamas towards the Iranians.

That was one point.

Another point, which is also connected to the Gulf War,

was the fact that Saudi Arabia and the other Gulf states seized at the time to support the PLO and Palestinian national leadership and actually gave -- I would say -- Hamas a green light to change their attitude or their relations with Iran; and following that year, we started to -- the -- to witness growing relations between Hamas and Iran, not only on the political or ideological basis, but gradually on the operational basis as well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- What brings them together? What do they have in common? Q.
- Well, first of all, maybe I should add another element which is important here in regard to the year '91. the Madrid conference which was actually the real start of -that led afterwards to a kind of reconciliation between Israel and the Palestinians.

The Middle Eastern power that was, let's say, mostly opposed to this new process was first of all Iran and on the Palestinian level, it was Hamas which denied any possibility of any contact, any link, any conciliation with Israel and started to criticize very much the -- the then PLO and on this ground, there was common -- there was a common interest between Iran and Hamas as the leading forces against the new line of policy of the Palestinian leadership.

- So did they come to a specific modus operandi or specific Q. agreement as to how to further that common interest?
- Well, at that time, there was -- there was a very

important linking point between Iran and Hamas, and this was mainly the Sudan, which was supported by the Iranians where Hamas actually opened its first office, official office outside of the Palestinian arena; and through the Sudan, the Iranians did a lot of effort -- paid a lot of effort in order to make contact with Hamas; and more and more, let's say, on the operational level and the level of financing. Q. agreement to act upon the -- their common interest?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And is it fair to characterize them as having reached an
- Well, I have never seen any document -- I mean, in this kind of relations, there are no official treaties like between states; but with the development of these relations through the Sudanese and later on, by the way, through the Syrians, there was an agreement to cooperate in favor of terrorism against Israel.
- How does the notion of jihad enter into their agreement or what they may have had in common?
- Well, Iran formed the start of the Islamic revolution in A. Iran was the leading country in the region of the Middle East to -- let's say -- to act in order to actually eliminate the state of Israel as a Jewish state; and it was also very prominent on the background of other processes in the Middle East like the peace agreement Israel and Egypt and later on the peace agreements with -- agreements with other countries in the region; and the Iranians were very much afraid at that time

that the process started by the -- the peace agreement between Israel and Egypt is going to lead to the recognition of the entire world in the existence of Israel, no matter with -- with -- in -- which borders but at least the existence of Israeli entity in the Middle East.

And since then, the line of policy was to look for every element in the Arab world and of course in the Palestinian arena that might cooperate with them to prevent this process.

- Q. In order to further that cooperation with Hamas, what was it that Iran was doing? What were they -- what was their end of the agreement or the process?
- A. Well, there was another element in the year '92 and mainly '93 which actually helped close the caused Iran and Hamas to become closer. This was the deportation of about 400 Hamas members, actually almost all the infrastructure of the movement in the Palestinian territories; and in they were deported between December '92 and December '93 when they were allowed to return to the territories. They were deported to south Lebanon where Hizbollah, as a proxy group, initiated and activated actually by the Iranians and the Iranian groups of the Islamic Revolutionary Guards were maintaining closer and closer relations with these Hamas members; and started through to offer them financial support and military training.
- Q. And is that basically the first time that Iran acted upon this agreement with Hamas to -- actually to provide something

substantial to them?

A. No. There were -- there were also some members -- I would say a few members that started to go to training in Iran around -- if I remember correctly -- around '92. Some of them were even sent from the United States, Palestinians, recruited in the United States, mainly by Moussa abu Marzook who was then the commander of Hamas in the states, and they were sent to training in the -- in -- on Iranian soil.

In '92, there was also another development, there was opened the first Hamas office with the position of official representation in Tehran.

- Q. And of those 400 people who were deported, 400 Hamas members who were deported to Israel, how many of them received training in Hizbollah camps in Lebanon?
- A. Well, I don't have the exact numbers; but as far as I remember, at least 70 of them received military training. We have to understand that of these 400, only about 380 were Hamas members. The rest were members of the Palestinian Islamic jihad who was -- was in close connections to the Iranians long before.

So among these people, there were many people who were over the age of 50, 60; so only the younger -- the younger members who were in this camp received military training; so as far as I remember, there were about 70 Hamas members.

Official Court Reporter

Q. Now, we talked about the -- we're talking about the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Iranian contribution. In addition to training, what other kinds of contributions did Iran make to this agreement or this partnership with Hamas?

Well, first of all, they started to give Hamas -- they started to give Hamas financial support. I don't know the exact numbers at that time, but it developed, and the amounts of financial support grew during the years.

Besides that, they were assisting them in relations and all kinds of operational -- operational connections like false documentation, like flights to all kinds of places. Either to Beirut or Damascus, or to the Sudan or to Tehran for those who were going to receive military training there.

- What was Hamas' contribution to this partnership?
- First of all, if I may use here a term we used to say in Hebrew, the relations during the start of the connections between the two parties, even until today, are that in a state that Iran is like a cow that wants to give -- wants to give more milk to the organizations that she wants their support; than they are willing to receive, because there was in the beginning some -- there were some reservations for -- by Hamas from cooperation with them, and these reservations actually during the year '93, in '94, they disappeared and the action of Hamas was terrorism. They didn't assist Iran, but by the persistence, persistent struggle, terrorist struggle and violence against Israel and in the attempt to serve the Iranian

interest to thwart the peace agreement and peace processbetween the Palestinians and Israel.Q. So is it fair to say they provided the manpower, the

A. Hamas, yes. Yes.

bodies to do the work?

- Q. Okay. You talked about the training and the -- the training from the deportees. In 1994, was there another group of training that occurred in Iran?
- A. Yes. In 1994, actually this was as far as I know the first group of Palestinians from the territories that went to Iran through the Sudan and Syria to receive training. It was also the -- for the first time quite a large group of 19 people.

It was organized in the Sudan. From there, they moved through -- they flew through Damascus to Tehran and received training for about three and a half months.

- Q. What specifically was the training and who gave them the training?
- A. Well, the training -- according to the confessions of those who were trained in that group, the training included the composing of all kinds of explosives, shooting all kinds of weapons, and clandestine behavior, and, let's say, indoctrination, political indoctrination in order to, let's say, to increase their awareness, their political awareness and their devotion for the cause.

Q. Who in Iran specifically was conducting this training?

A. Well, the camp nearby Tehran belonged to the Revolutionary Guards; and the trainers, according to the confessions of those who trained there, they didn't know their trainers. They didn't know them by name; and there were — they were separated actually from the trainer, closed in this camp, they couldn't get out of this camp; and actually, from their point of view, it was the Iranian Revolutionary Guards.

- Q. Okay. And one of the 19 members who went to Iran in 1994 was Hassan Salame; is that true?
- A. Yes. That's true.

- Q. What do we know -- excuse me, before we get to that. The training that occurred there, were the 19 people trained to become the foot soldiers, the trigger men, or were they trained to become commanders, leaders, and trainers?
- A. Well, first of all, I can't say what the Iranians wanted them to be; but in the point of view of Hamas, those that returned to the territories -- not all of them by the way returned to the territories -- those that returned became actually the leading trainers of the organization, the leading people who could compose all kinds of explosives; and from the Hamas point of view, they became senior members of the military wing of the movement; and it was important because some of them did not even like -- like Hassan Salame -- did not actually take part in terrorist operations but remained behind the scene

in order to keep him safe in order that he could train others,
compose all kinds of explosives, record people, et cetera.
Q. Was that his function in the explosion that killed
Eisenfeld and Duker and also Leia Moussa?
A. Leia Moussa was wounded.
Q. Excuse me, I apologize.
A. Yes. He actually was he trained the three members that
were supposed to and actually did carry out the suicide
operations. He composed the explosives. He showed them how to
activate it; and he was actually, in this case, the maybe
the most important figure of that of that group.
Q. You testified in the Eisenfeld and Duker case and also in
the Moussa case?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And what can you tell us more about Mr. Salame and what
his what his his function in Hamas was?
A. First of all, he was quite senior prior to his training in
Iran. He was actually sent to the Sudan in order to work
the in the official office of Hamas there.
And there, he was he was actually in close touch with
the most senior officials of Hamas that arrived to the Sudan to

organize the activity, to organize the -- the connections with the Iranians, and to organize all kinds of propaganda and financial issues.

And when he was sent to Iran, it was -- and let's say,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

when he returned, it was quite obvious that he became one of the senior members of the group mainly in the area of -- the southern part of the southern region of the West Bank.

- Have you reviewed the documents pertaining to the Ungar murder, the confessions, convictions, information provided by the Israelis?
- Yes, I did.
- Okay. And what can you tell us about this incident and Q. about how this fits into the scheme of Hamas?
- Well, if I may add in connection to that another element which -- an important element which the Iranians actually influence Hamas, it was the suicide bombing, the modus operandi of suicide bombing, terrorist modus operandi that's actually started in the Middle East in Lebanon by Hizbollah during the eighties and through the influence of Iran and Hizbollah, Hamas started to use this modus operandi since '93 and later on much more frequently in '95, '96, et cetera, until today.

So I mention it because the -- all this activity created an attempt at that time of the Palestinian authority since it was established in '94, not only by Israel to limit Hamas activity.

So all these cells created by Hamas actually in some cases were very fragile and some of them -- and some of the members became wanted either by Israel or by the Palestinian authority. So it was very important at that time to use members who

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

. 9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

were -- who received such good military training like Hassan Salame to use them in several missions.

Now parallel to his mission in the -- in the case of the Jerusalem -- the cell in Jerusalem that carried out these suicide operations in the buses that you mentioned before, he also started to train many other members in other cells in that region of the southern region of the West Bank.

Part of his training was to kidnap soldiers and -- to kidnap Israelis in this case, but mainly soldiers and to shoot from a -- from moving cars; and in the beginning of -- if I'm not mistaken, in February, '95, Hamas decided -- sorry. February '96, Hamas decided at least temporarily to stop the use of suicide bombing and to move to the modus operandi of kidnaping soldiers and shooting from moving cars.

And actually, Hassan Salame started to train in these months, this period, other members of Hamas in carrying out these operations; and this was exactly actually how -- this was the operation in which the late Ungar couple were killed.

- Was he -- was Salame the trainer for the Talachmeh cell? Q.
- I don't know for sure. But it is probably -- since he confessed that he trained most of the Hamas members in that region at that time, and since he was the main trainer of Hamas at that time in this region, it is very probably, I can say, that he was the trainer of the Talachmeh cell.
- Is there anyone else that you know of who could have or

would have done the training for the cell that killed the Ungars? Is there anyone else who has been identified as a trainer of that cell?

A. No. Not that I know of. And if I may add we are talking here in this case -- we are not talking about explosives, but we are talking about kidnaping and shooting from moving cars which in no other members of Hamas besides those who were trained in Iran actually were trained in such methods of operation.

So it is very likely that he was the guy who trained the Talachmeh cell; although they didn't know -- the trainer was masked. They didn't know him by name. And they didn't know him at all; and actually, he was also -- he was not from that region. He was from the Gaza Strip; so he wasn't known by local people.

- Q. The confessions of the four individuals who killed the Ungars, they talk about the training that they did?
- A. Yes. They talk about it.
- Q. They themselves said that the trainers were masked or hooded?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

21

22

23

24

- Q. What word did they use? Do you recall if it was hooded or masked?
 - A. Well, in Hebrew, it is the same. It is the same word.
 - Q. Okay.

A. But I think maybe we should say they were hooded.

Q. Okay. And is that typical of the methodology of Hamas training, that the trainers are not identified to the trainees?

A. Yes. First of all, it was also -- there was much improvement in those years in the operational activity of Hamas. Part of it was due to the training of some of its members by the Iranians or by Hizbollah in other cases; and -- by Hizbollah in Lebanon in other cases.

And part of this improvement was the fact that they became more and more clandestine. They kept much more discipline and they were better organized than in the years before.

- Q. Was Salame -- did he discuss his role in choosing locations for attacks as well as -- or the -- sort of the change from suicide bombing to drive-by shootings?
- A. The change was not -- in his confession, he said that

 Talachmeh actually -- who was senior in the hierarchy of Hamas

 at that time --
- Q. Excuse me. Talachmeh was senior?
- A. Talachmeh was senior. The problem with Talachmeh at the time was that he was in a Palestinian jail in Jericho; so part of his activity and the connection with him was -- I mean the members of his cell had to come to him in jail and talk to him, and he was not free, out of jail.

But he was actually senior, and Talachmeh actually told Salame about the change in policy. This is another element

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

that I can conclude from it that since they changed -- there was this change in the policy, he wanted or he had the interest that Salame who trained his people, the members of his cell, I mean Talachmeh's cell in the new, let's say, activities of kidnapping and shooting.

- Q. And what do we know about where the weapons and the finances for this organization -- for specifically Talachmeh's organization, what do we know about where that came from?
- A. Well, in two cases, one -- a battle rifle, a Kalashnikof was given to them by Talachmeh as he told them -- he told Ismail Ghanimat that it was a present from Hamas.
- Q. Excuse me one second. That's Ghanimat. G-H-A-N-I-M-A-T. Is that one of the individuals on the list?
- A. In our country we pronounce it differently. Okay. The pronunciation in English.

And he gave them money to buy the other Kalashnikov; and beside that, he gave them at least once money to buy a gun.

- Q. What do you know about Ghanimat's capability prior to the beginning of 1996? Was he in need of training? Was he already an expert, a person who could carry out acts or did he need to be trained?
- A. No. Actually prior to -- prior to the -- let's say -- February-March of '96, he was involved in several attempts of -- several terrorist attempts and actually he failed in some of them either in shooting or in kidnapping.

And only after February '96, he and his mates started actually to carry out -- from their point of view -- successful operations like the killing of actually five other Jews, Israelis besides the Ungar couple.

- Q. So is it fair then to make a distinction between pre-'96 and post-'96?
- A. I think we can say that after the training, mainly the training by shooting, there was a major improvement of the operations.
- Q. Now, Mr. Salame was convicted, and what was he specifically convicted of with regard to training?
- A. He was -- well, he was convicted in training between February or March and May '96 -- in training Hamas members in the conviction, let's say -- it didn't say exactly who -- which members. But he was convicted, among other things -- it was not his main conviction, but he was convicted in training Hamas members in that period until he was arrested.
- Q. But what was he -- training them in what?
- A. Training in -- mainly in shooting and explosives.
- Q. Okay. And where does -- where and how does Hamas get or obtain weapons to carry out such attacks?
- A. Well, they have different sources. There is -- first of all, there is a difference between the period of prior to '94 and after '94. When I say '94, I mean the establishment of the Palestinian authority and the amount of weapons that the

Palestinian authority was actually given by Israel as a legitimate weapon during that period.

So I mentioned it because after '94, there was a lot of weapons in the territories; so their sources were either from the Palestinian authority or weapons stolen from Israel, either from civilians or from the Israeli military forces; and weapons smuggled from outside the Palestinian territories either from Egypt to the Gaza Strip or from Jordan or through Jordan to the West Bank.

Q. And was there an incident approximately eight months ago where a series of shipment of weapons was sent to Hamas on a boat?

THE COURT: Eight months ago?

THE WITNESS: Yes. There was a ship captured by Israel. There was a ship coming from Lebanon and it was actually -- a deal of -- of -- of weapons for Hamas, a combination of the Iranians, Hizbollah, and the group of --

THE COURT: Mr. Strachman, I don't know how much longer you have with this witness. I have a meeting to go to. If you're not going to be finished in the next three or four minutes, we have to break for lunch.

MR. STRACHMAN: We probably have three or four minutes. Maybe we'll just conclude after lunch.

THE COURT: I think we should break for lunch now. Let's be back at 1:40.

MR. STRACHMAN: Great. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

(Recessed at 12:36 p.m.)

AFTERNOON SESSION - (1:47 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. Ready to proceed,

Mr. Strachman? Go ahead.

MR. STRACHMAN: Thank you.

BY MR. STRACHMAN:

- Q. Dr. Paz, when we -- we left off, we were talking about a shipment of arms that was sent from Hizbollah and other organizations to Hamas. Was that -- where did those weapons come from?
- A. Well, usually all the weapons of Hizbollah in Lebanon comes from Iran through shipments mainly by flights through the Damascus airport.

So I suppose that these arms were also from Iran.

- Q. And is that shipment, that process of shipping arms to sponsored groups in Palestine or the territories, is that something that has been going on for a while or is that something that first started with that boat eight months ago?
- A. First of all, as far as we know, this boat was the first that was captured by Israel. There might be some other boats that managed to smuggle arms through the sea. But there were other ways of smuggling arms either from Iran or from other countries through -- not through the sea, but through the land,

on the ground.

14.

- Q. Okay. And does that involve Iran directly or does it involve Hizbollah?
- A. Mainly Hizbollah. I would say the ship that was captured two weeks ago, it involved Iran directly; but in that case, the weapons were for the Palestinian authority; but mainly through Hizbollah, most of the Iranian activity with the Islamic groups except for the training on the Iranian soil was through Hizbollah.
- Q. And does Hizbollah have the same kind of relationship with Iran that Hamas does, or is it of a different nature?
- A. No. It's of a different nature. Actually, Hizbollah was created by the Iranian Revolutionary Guards in '82 in order to, let's say, to maintain Iranian influence and dominance among the Shiites in south Lebanon and to fight the Israeli occupation of south Lebanon at the time; and it was almost totally under the control of the Iranians.

Since June or July '82, Iranian troops and Iranian intelligence officers are in the Bekaa Valley, the eastern part of Lebanon; and they are there since then and actually they controlled and still control the activity of Hizbollah.

Q. And the activities of Iran either directly or through
Hizbollah, are they approved of and are they part of the
general Iranian -- Iran -- Republic of Iran's -- is that part
of their general policy or is that sort of a separate function

or a separate goal of individuals in the government?

No. I would say that this is the Iranian policy.

I must say that even what those people who are in recent years viewed as more moderate in Iran like President Hatami, for example, in what concerns the fight against Israel and the wish to eliminate Israel as a Jewish state in the Middle East, there are no moderates or hard-liners in the Iranian regime; and this is the official policy of Iran to fight Israel as much as they can.

- Q. In order to do so then, what people like the supreme leader, Ayatollah Khamenei and the president, Mr. Rafsanjani at the time, and the ministry of information, Mr. Khuzestani, would those people have to approve of the relationship between Iran and Hamas?
- A. Yes. The relationship with Hamas was part of, let's say, a policy that was actually decided and directed by the superior leadership the Iranian regime; and those names that you mentioned were actually at the time the highest leadership; and actually even today, they're still they still have a lot of influence in Iran; and, yes, such a policy was dictated actually by the superior leadership.
- Q. So the relationship between Hamas and Iran could not have existed without the approval of these people?
- A. Yes. Sure. Sure. And Iran was always -- we have to understand maybe another point which is not directly connected

to terrorism but which is important, and that is that Iran since the -- since the Islamic revolution, was always looking for legitimacy in the Arab world, which is Sunni and non-Shiite Islamic world.

So, therefore, they were looking for every possible corner where they can put their hand and find cooperation and support for their cause.

So that's why the relations they had since the early eighties with the Islamic Palestinian jihad was useless because the Islamic Palestinian jihad was and still is a very, very small and marginal organization among the Palestinians.

So they were always looking for groups like Hamas with a lot of influence in -- among the Palestinians and especially that Hamas viewed itself as a rival and as an alternative to the Palestinian -- to the PLO, to the Palestinian authority, and to the national Palestinian leadership.

So they always wished to increase their relations and cooperation with such a big group like Hamas.

- Q. They're always willing to give milk?
- A. That's right.

- Q. By the way, is that an expression in Hebrew? Is that a common expression in Hebrew?
- A. Yes. This is a very common expression in Hebrew. It is accepted among most of these experts that that's the way to describe the Iranian policy in this regard.

- And does the ministry of information in Iran, do they 1 monitor Hamas' operations? 2 No.
 - Well, how do they communicate about the Hamas activities?
 - Well, their policy is to encourage Hamas to carry out as much as possible terrorist activity against Israel on the one hand and to do the best to prevent any improvement or any progress in the peace progress between Israel and the Palestinian leadership.

So this eggs their policy and for that, they -- let's say in order to achieve this policy, they encourage mainly Hamas terrorism as the main tool to interfere with the peace process and to influence either the Palestinians or the Israeli public opinion.

How do they do that?

A.

3

4

5

6

7

8

. 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- They do that first of all by financing all kinds of Hamas activities. They do it by training, and they do it by a lot of propaganda in support either directly or through their influence in Lebanon and through Hizbollah.
- Let's talk about the financing for a minute. Do you have an idea as to how much money Iran spent financing Hamas over the past decade?
- Well, I can only estimate. As far as I know the only estimations -- I would say -- or I can -- I would estimate that in the early or -- let's say in the first half of the nineties,

Iran gave Hamas -- directly -- about \$3 million per year; and
this sum of money increased.

And now there is information, talking about \$18 million in the last year, during this year of the -- what they called the second intifadah, the second uprising.

So there is an increase in the financial support of the Iranians; but I would mention that this is, let's say, the direct financial support. There is financial support by other means such as the trainings, the flights, the false documentations, et cetera.

- Q. The \$3 million in the early eighties, that's basically cash payments, right? That doesn't include the training, the income --
- A. Yes. I assumed that.
- Q. Is it fair to say that there's some wide -- within the academic community there's some wide -- there's a wide range of ideas as to how much money actually Iran gets?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- o. Is it --
- A. I can say that there are people who are talking about \$30 million a year; but this is my assumption -- this is my estimation.
- Q. You're on the conservative side?
- A. Yes. That's right.
- Q. And the money that is given by Hamas -- excuse me, given

by Iran to Hamas, is that money give for any specific purpose or is it given general -- generally to Hamas for all of its activities?

A. No. It is not -- the Iranians are not financing so far and didn't do it in earlier years. They are not financing the social or cultural or educational infrastructure of Hamas.

They are financing mainly terrorism.

- Q. Okay. Now, you also talked about the other mechanism of support, and that's the training that's provided?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Can you tell us a little more about the training?
- A. Well, the training, so far -- as I can estimate -- there were over 100 members of Hamas trained directly on Iranian soil; and about another 100 or 150 members trained by Hizbollah and Iranians from the Revolutionary Guards in Lebanon.

The training was mainly -- let's say in all kinds of terrorism and guerilla warfare. I mean there were military trainings as well as training on specific terrorist operations like making explosives, very sophisticated explosives, and shooting.

- Q. They trained them with missiles as well?
- A. Not -- they were trained -- you cannot call -- let's say rockets.
 - o. Rockets?
 - A. Rockets and mortars.

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- Parachuting? Q.
- No. No. A.
- And is it the ministry of information that provides the Q. sort of umbrella for the training facilities?
- Yes. Let's say on the top of the hierarchy there the ministry of information who deals with Iranian terrorism in general.
- And did you -- you've reviewed -- I think I already asked you if you reviewed the confessions, and you reviewed the government -- the Israeli government's documents pertaining to the Ungar murder.

Could you tell us how this group came to shoot at the car driven by the Ungars?

Well, at that time after they were informed by Talachmeh that that -- the movement -- Hamas is going to stop the suicide bombing, they started to look for soldiers, for kidnapping soldiers and for shooting; and unlike previous periods, they started to drive inside what we call the green line, inside Israel in order to find soldiers who were waiting for a lift or something like that.

And all shooting at moving cars and according to their confessions, they -- the 9th of June, '96, they drove to the rear of -- in the direction, let's say, of the south of Israel in order to -- one of them said in order to kidnap soldiers and to shoot at cars.

One of them said just to shoot at cars, and they were driving there for about an hour unenforced and until actually they saw the car of the Ungar family.

- Q. What did Mr. Hamdiya say about why this particular car was chosen?
- A. Well, he said that it was chosen because they saw a woman was driving this car.
- Q. What significance did that have for him?
- A. Well, for them as devoted Muslims, it might be more annoying, the fact that a woman was driving the car.
- Q. Okay. And in conclusion, knowing what you know about Iran and about Hamas and the relationship between the two, can you tell us or give us a brief picture as to what Hamas would look like if it did not have the partnership with Iran and did not receive the training, support, propaganda support, et cetera?

THE COURT: That in the adversary process would be objected to and sustained, but I'll listen to the answer.

time, without the Iranian support, mainly by training, by military training, would seem, let's -- I would say quite anemic, contrary to the well-organized and much more successful group they became especially after '95 and during '96. I would say another thing. In confession -- in a confession of another guy who was not connected to the Ungar case -- and I'm just referring to him as an example -- he was sent by Moussa abu

Marzook to military training in, first of all, in the military 1 camp of Hamas in Kansas City; and then Moussa abu Marzook did 2 not approve the level of the training, so he sent him to 3 Lebanon and Moussa abu Marzook again did not approve the level 4 of the training, so he sent him to Iran in order to be really 5 well-trained. 6 So I bring that just as an example the training in Iran 7 became very important factor in the improvement of Hamas in its 8 terrorist activity. 9 Thank you. That's all, Your Honor. MR. STRACHMAN: 10 THE COURT: Thank you very much, Dr. Paz. 11 THE WITNESS: You're welcome. 12 (Witness excused.) 13 MR. STRACHMAN: Your Honor, we would like to call 14 Dr. Clawson. 15

THE COURT: All right.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please be seated and speak into the microphone.

PATRICK CLAWSON, PLAINTIFFS' WITNESS, AFFIRMED

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. STRACHMAN:

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Doctor, your name sir?
- A. Patrick Clawson.
 - Q. Okay. And where do you work, sir?
- A. I work at the Washington Institute for Near East Policy.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I'm the director for research at the institute.
- Q. And what do you do there in your capacity as director of research?
- A. I direct a staff of approximately a dozen senior researchers and an equal number of research assistants who look at the contemporary Middle East and U.S. policy towards the Middle East.
- Q. And what is your area of expertise?
- A. I'm by professional training an economist. The country that I follow most closely is, however, Iran, and I cover its -- I study its politics, its military, its support for terrorism, as well as its economy and history.
- Q. And have you written on Iran's relationship or sponsorship of terrorist organizations?
- A. Yes. I've written numerous articles and a few books and edited a few books about Iran's strategy, including it support for terrorism.
- Q. And have you testified in this court about Iran and its relationship to terrorism?
- A. Yes, sir. I've testified in several cases in this Court about Iran's support for terrorism.
- Q. And, Dr. Clawson, I'm showing you a document. Could you tell us what that is?
- A. That is a brief professional resume.

THE COURT: That's some marriage you just talked about.

THE WITNESS: Well...

I'm afraid, Your Honor, that marriages have been known -- such marriages have been known to exist.

THE COURT: Well, I'll never tell; but go ahead, Doctor.

MR. STRACHMAN: In the Middle East. This is a Middle Eastern marriage.

THE COURT: I hope not. In the Middle East you're in real trouble with a marriage like that.

Go ahead.

BY MR. STRACHMAN:

- Q. Is it fair to say that despite the ups and downs, they've continued to maintain this partnership over the last 10 years or so?
- A. Over the last 10 years, yes, sir. In December 1992, there was an episode the Israelis deported about 300 Hamas members to Lebanon. Lebanon wouldn't let them in. They sit there on the border for a long time. There's a real breakthrough in the relationship between the two sides. Since then Hamas and Iran have cooperated relatively closely, sometimes extremely closely, sometimes with a little more suspicion on the two sides.
- Q. Does our government -- did the United States government

have an articulate -- an official position concerning Iran and concerning its relationship to terrorism?

A. Yes, sir. Every year there is a report issued by the State Department called Patterns of Global Terrorism. That report is a product of a great deal of effort throughout the intelligence and foreign affairs community. Every word is carefully weighed. I've been consulted at times about exactly which word to use in some of the sentences.

And that report has a description about Iran's role as a state sponsor of terrorism and has for years now described Iran as either, one, a leading sponsor or the leading state sponsor of terrorism; and the report also describes in some detail the Iran-Hamas connection.

- Q. And is it fair to say that Iran is a charter member of this designation of state -- being a state sponsor of terrorism?
- A. Charter member? Certainly since the Iranian revolution more than 20 years ago, it's been on that list. There was a precursor to that list that began a couple of years before the revolution; but since it has been a formal list, Iran has been on it.
- Q. Since the list was designated and formulated by the State Department, Iran has been on that list each and every year?
- A. I'd have to go back and check as to whether it first began in 1977 or 1978. Iran has been on there for more than 20

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

years.

- Okay. How was Iran characterized in 1996, the year that Q. the Ungars were murdered?
- It was characterized as the principal state sponsor of terrorism.
- In the world? Q.
- In the world, that's correct.
- And is that designation, is that sort of a tool Okay. Q. of -- or used by our government to sort of announce policy or is it sort of a -- a tool to -- you know, as a propaganda tool? Is it conservative in its designation or is it exaggeratory?
- Well, the report is a very careful and conservative report. The formal designation of which states are state sponsors has many legal implications. I think it is fair to say that the list has become kind of frozen in time in the number of countries -- like Cuba -- that are on the list as they -- the report describes are no longer actively supporting terrorism but are still on the list because of their -- they have never prosecuted or handed over for prosecution the terrorists they supported in the past.

Iran, as the report describes, is a very different It is still an active state sponsor. As a result, situation. under law, there are a whole variety of benefits that are denied to Iran.

How is that -- how were -- did that designation -- how is

that taken by the Iranian government? How does that affect the government?

- A. This has always deeply offended the Iranian government. Its self-conception is, after all, as a religious or moral government; and also Iranians are a proud people, proud of their country, proud of their civilization; and they feel that this is -- the Iranian government feels this is disparaging that civilization.
- Q. And what is the significance of a designation? I think you said principal sponsor, and I think the report makes it premiere. What's the significance of the use of that type of word in connection with Iran's sponsorship of terrorism?
- A. At the time in the mid-1990s, the United States was having an active discussion -- shall we say? -- with a number of our European allies about how best to press Iran to drop its terrorist activities.

And a number of those European countries were suggesting that all Iran's activity in terrorism was a matter of the past; and when it sponsored the hijackings of the -- the kidnappings of the Americans in Lebanon and that wording in that report and the information in that report was part of a vigorous effort by the U.S. government to persuade European governments to take a stronger stance against Iranian terrorism, in particular against Iranian support for terrorism directed against Israel and in Israel.

9 10

13

11

12

15

16

14

17 18

19

21

20

22 23

24

25

- How -- in doing your research or -- how do you gather Q. information about Iran?
- Iran is actually a relatively open country. There's a lot of newspapers in Iran. They like to scoop each other by publishing secret documents.

After all the Iran-contra affair, news of that, that was leaked from Iran not from the United States. And at the time we're talking about, there's a reform movement in Iran that started to gather steam and criticized the government. So we see a pretty lively political debate about many of these issues.

It is circumspect about national security affairs, but still quite a bit of information comes through.

- And what was the reason that Iran began to have a relationship with Hamas?
- Iran wanted a relationship with Hamas dating back to the earlier days of the Iranian revolution. It was Hamas which was reluctant. Iran supports the goal of creating an Islamic state in all of the mandatory Palestine, and, therefore, Iran wanted to work with Hamas.

Iran was a little -- was concerned that Hamas was too moderate because initially Hamas in the early 1980s wasn't sponsoring terrorist attacks inside Israel itself, only in the West Bank and Gaza was Hamas involved in terrorist attacks. That was one reason that Iran essentially created a more

radical group than Hamas, the Palestinian Islamic jihad; but Iran then was very pleased it was able to work with Hamas and that Hamas adopted a more radical agenda.

- Q. Is the position of the government, the Iranian government, compatible with the goals of Hamas as articulated in its charter?
- A. Absolutely. Indeed, Iran's complaint has been at times that Hamas hasn't been vigorous enough in using military force and using terrorism to achieve those goals in that charter.
- Q. And do -- how do each -- each, Hamas and Iran -- how do they each conceptualize the notion of jihad? Is that something that they conceptualize differently or that they share?
- A. Well, Iran is going to -- Iran emphasizes the use of force, the use of violence for jihad. If anything somewhat more than Hamas does. People in Hamas may talk about jihad as both this violent use of terrorism as well as a more moralistic and striving for moral purity where Iran emphasizes the militaristic aspect much more.
- Q. And how -- what methods or what mechanisms do -- does Iran use to encourage this terrorism by Hamas?
- A. Well, Iran provides financial support; and, indeed, there have been credible supports from numerous sources -- including Iranian ones -- that suggest that Iran provides payment after terrorist actions, especially after spectacular terrorist actions. Iran can provide a considerable amount of payment.

carry its message throughout the Muslim world.

And especially in the -- from the mid-1990s onward, Iran has used it prestige as a radical force in the world Muslim community. In an earlier period, Iran had problem doing that because of this prejudice against Shiites which has only been overcome in the last -- in the 1990s.

- Q. And you talk about the financial support. Can you give us an estimate as to how much money is paid by Iran on a yearly basis and does it change? Has it changed over time?
- Mell, we have only imperfect information, to put it mildly, on how much Iran provides for terrorism overall. But I've never seen any credible estimates that Iran's total spending on terrorism at the time we're talking about were as low as \$50 million a year. I think that they were -- most of the credible estimates are considerably higher, some as high as 200 million a year. Much of that, however, is in Lebanon in the Palestinian area, I mean in -- its -- quite a bit less, some of that goes to the Palestinian Islamic jihad.

How much specifically goes to Hamas, I don't know. But certainly in the millions of dollars.

Q. Per year?

A. Per year.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

1.0 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Okay. And the balance then of the funds that you talked Q. about would be used with -- in Iran for training?
- The Hizbollah in Lebanon or for that matter some --Iran at this time, '95, '96 is starting to get going for support for terrorism in the Balkans. Iran is using terrorism to target Iranian dissidents abroad; for instance, there's a murder in Berlin which becomes quite a cause celebre, a trial in a German court that finds that the top leaders of the Iranian government were the ones who ordered that assassination; and, indeed, the court finds that they -- the top leaders of the Iranian government are -- provide approval for lots of terrorist actions or are very involved in the approval for terrorism.
- You talked about providing funds in response to spectacular activities.
- Yes. A.
- How does that occur with respect to Hamas?
- There's been credible reports that after a Hamas suicide bombing, Iran provides literally a cash payment.
- Okay. How do --Q.

THE COURT: To the family of the suicide?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, but -- Your Honor, but also to Hamas as an organization as well, for it to be able to carry out further such activities. After all, Iran has been concerned that at times it didn't think Hamas was being radical

enough. So when Hamas does something radical, which Iran approves, it is going to want to reward Hamas for having done that.

BY MR. STRACHMAN:

- Q. How -- is there communication between Hamas requesting the reward and Iran to --
- A. We don't have -- I don't have a very good idea how that works. I suspect some of our intelligence communities do. There had been various suggestions that intermediaries are used, for instance, people here in the United States, but I don't know exactly.
- Q. Frequently, or recently, are Hamas activities videotaped to present to Iran?
- A. More recently, yes. Right. More recently Hamas has been videotaping its terrorist bombings, and there is reason to believe that is in part to be better able to get payment from Iran.
- Q. Okay. Now, the Iranian support for Hamas, is that part of a sort of a national policy of the Iranian government?
- A. Absolutely. There is a broad consensus within the Iranian government about its opposition to the existence of the state of Israel and about the appropriateness of the use of terrorism in order to fight against the existence of the state of Israel.

So while there are many disputes in Iran among the political leaders, there's broad consensus on that issue.

Q. And could the -- could the -- strike that.

What is the role of the ministry of information and security with regard to the -- this relationship between -- or this marriage -- as you said -- between Hamas and Iran?

- A. Well, the ministry of information and security is a very large agency. And it's the -- shall I put it? -- the heir of the -- the secret police of the former Shah; and it has a lot of technical expertise and provides a lot of technical training in terrorism techniques to Hamas members as well as to other terrorist groups.
- Q. How many members or how many employees are there of the ministry of information and security?
- A. Certainly 30,000. Potentially more. We know quite a bit about its activities because most of its activities are directed against dissidents inside Iran. And the reform movement in Iran has in recent years revealed a great deal of information about the organization's activities.

We also know a lot about its activities from the defector from that organization who testified in the Berlin trial about the killings I mentioned earlier.

- Q. What is its annual budget? Do you have an idea as to that?
- A. Its credibly said to be something on the order of a hundred million dollars.
- Q. A hundred million?

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

- That may be a bit high but certainly is more than 50 A. million.
- Were there some estimates that its budget is 500 million? Q.
- Estimates that are much higher, yes; but that, I think, is an exaggeration based on the fact Iran has a very artificial economy kind of like the old Soviet Union. So the country's exchange rate is very different. The official exchange rate is very different from the black market rate.
- Do the funds that go to Hamas, that are dedicated to Hamas for terrorism, do they come from the ministry of information and security's budget?
- It would seem they come almost entirely from the ministry A. of information and security. For some other terrorist groups, the Revolutionary Guards get very involved. For instance with Hizbollah, the Revolutionary Guards seem to be more important; but it would seem with the Palestinians that it is much more the ministry of information and security that's involved.

Iran is a rather messy government with a lot of overlapping institutions, like the Revolutionary Guards is a revolutionary institution created by the new government after the revolution, whereas the ministry of information and security is sort of a technocratic institution of secret police that's been there for a long, long time.

And are there specific communications in relationships between people in Hamas and the ministry of information and

security? 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Certainly in 1993, when this large group of this some 300 people from Hamas are in southern Lebanon, we have good reason to believe that some of them that went on to get direct training from the ministry of information and security operatives.
- How would you describe the relationship between Hamas and Iran? How would you characterize it during the specific period of 1995 and 1996?
- A. Oh, at that point the relationship had been improving pretty steadily for -- well, almost eight years. And it had become a pretty tight relationship. In fact, I would say in early 1996, at that point it looked like eight years of steady improvement, the relationship was becoming better and better. Since then there have been ups and downs, but...
- And the -- what's described -- this relationship between Q. Hamas and Iran, is this something that's fairly well known in public or is this something that only through research such as your own, such as you -- you or other scholars would do would be able to uncover?
- It's hardly a secret. Hamas leaders visit Iran and are A. greeted by the top leaders of the Iranian government. Iranian leadership -- the Iranian newspapers comment on it. It is commented on by people from these -- from Hamas by scholars writing about Hamas. It is commented on. It is frequently by

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

PLO chairman Yasar Arafat who is not happy about it.

- Specifically in the period of early 1996, did the Q. relationship come to the forefront of international affairs?
- Absolutely. At that time the Israeli prime minister, Shimon Peres, faced a very difficult election campaign in Israel; and he was complaining that the suicide bombings, which Hamas was principally responsible for, were undercutting his campaign and he, of course, went on to lose by a very narrow margin; and Mr. Peres frequently said that he thinks that it was the Iranians who short-circuited the peace process and prevented the successful completion of an Israeli Palestinian peace in the late 1990s.

And that's an evaluation which the Iranians generally They think this was a great strategic triumph for them, their support for Hamas at this time.

- In that period of time, spring of 1996, were their Q. comments from Chairman Arafat as to his opinion about the relationship between Iran and Hamas?
- Chairman Arafat was pretty sharp about it, not as sharp as In fact, not as sharp as Mr. Arafat became after the fall of '96 when he had to deal with the new Israeli prime minister Mr. Netanyahu and realized what a big problem it caused for him.

It was by late 1999 that Arafat is bitterly denouncing Hamas and is describing -- exposed how much Hamas had been

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

responsible for the bombings that previous -- earlier that year.

- Did he comment about the relationship between -- did Q. Chairman Arafat comment on the relationship between Hamas and Iran during that period of time, the spring of 1996?
- Chairman Arafat was blaming Iran for its encouragement of the suicide bombings and at a summit attended by many world leaders in Sharm al Sheek in the Sinai peninsula of Egypt, numerous world leaders condemned Iran for its support for the suicide bombings. Many of them did not mention that the suicide bombings were claimed by Hamas.
- What was the argot -- our government -- the United States Q. government's position concerning responsibility of Iran for that spate of terrorism?
- Both President Clinton and Secretary of State Christopher frequently condemned Iran for its sponsorship of the suicide I'm not sure if Mr. Clinton made the direct bombings. connection to Hamas as the intermediary through which this was done, but certainly Mr. Christopher did.
- And you've reviewed -- have you had an opportunity to review the confessions and the convictions of the four individuals who participated in the murder of the Ungars?
- Yes, sir. A.
- And how would you characterize their -- this incident with regard to their training or their expertise?

1 2 3
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A. Well, their confessions certainly describe a -- quite a professionally trained group, and many of the skills which they described for carrying out the terrorist actions are precisely the kind of skills which Iran was noted for in the -- by the Berlin court in the killings there. Many of those skills are precisely the things that Hamas did not have a few years earlier before its cooperation with Iran became much closer.

Q. And in the last few years, there have been a series of judgments against Iran for its participation or its support or

How are those -- how are those judgments -- how are those -- how do they impact the government of Iran? How do they relate to those pronouncements, those judicial pronouncements?

THE COURT: Is that any of my business?

MR. STRACHMAN: Well --

involvement in terrorism.

THE COURT: Do I need to know that?

MR. STRACHMAN: I think it is significant, Judge, and I think it has to do with the nature of Iran and how they -- how they interact with their sponsorship of terrorism.

THE COURT: All right.

THE WITNESS: Initially, the judgments were generally ignored in Iran. But especially since Congress changed the law to allow claimants to receive funds, there's been a great deal of attention paid in the Iranian press to these judgments; and

the way the issue is presented in the Iranian press is that the payments that are being made are out of Iranian money.

I know that that's something of a simplification of how the process takes place, but that's how it is presented in the Iranian press; and there's been a great deal of anger expressed. Iran passed — the parliament passed a law allowing Iranian citizens to sue the United States in response; and there have been a lot of criticisms directed against the current Iranian government for not finding a way to bring an end to these lawsuits.

BY MR. STRACHMAN:

- Q. Has there been a specific pronouncement by the Iranian government itself?
- A. The Iranian supreme leader, the Iranian foreign minister, the head of the judiciary, the -- the parliament, in the preamble to the law allowing suits against Americans have all condemned these suits.
- Q. And have you spoken to any officials of the Iranian government about these types of legal procedures?
- A. Yes. I have spoken to -- well, officials of the Iranian government. I've spoken to lawyers who work for Iran. For instance, the head counsel for Iran at the Iran-U.S. claims tribunal in the Hague who, in fact, when he made a presentation here in Washington devoted his entire presentation to the subject of these suits, and why he thought they were

inappropriate; and he described also --

2

THE COURT: When was that?

3

4

I would have to refresh my memory, Your THE WITNESS: I believe the answer is that it was approximately 15 I would have to check that. months ago.

5 6

Are those comments available on the web THE COURT: or some other place?

8

9

7

THE WITNESS: I have never seen those comments available, I'm afraid to say. I tried to get a copy of them, and I urged him to write them up for review.

10 11

12

THE COURT: You mentioned the perception in Iran that the money is coming from Iran. Is any money being paid on any of these judgments; and if so, by whom, and where is it coming from?

14

15

16

13

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, the money is being paid in these judgments for the compensatory damages, and the funds are paid out of the U.S. Treasury against the law which says the funds are to be then reclaimed from the assets which Iran had paid in to the U.S. Treasury before the revolution as prepayment for arms that were to be shipped to Iran.

17 18 19

20

21

22

And there's a sum of more than \$400 million that Iran prepaid at that time. And the U.S. government resisted calling that frozen money, frozen funds; and for a long time, there was quite a dispute taking place in Congress -- which I testified frequently -- about this money; and in the end, Congress said

23 24

Then the individuals could collect an additional amount 1 based on the compensatory damages. So, in other words, if you 2 agree to subrogate your punitive damages you get an additional 3 amount calculated as a percentage of your compensatory damages. 4 My understanding is that a number of the -- those who have 5 gotten these judgments have agreed to that subrogation. A 6 number of them have not. 7 And some of the lawyers involved are vigorously pursuing 8 all kinds of ways of trying to collect money and come up with a 9 variety of ideas that are --10 THE COURT: But the compensatory damages are paid out 11 promptly? 12 THE WITNESS: For those cases which were specifically 13 cited by Congress in the law. 14 Now there's an interesting process going on of trying to 15 add additional cases to that list --16 THE COURT: You mean --17 THE WITNESS: The law cited specific -- sorry to 18 interrupt, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: The 1996 law? 20 THE WITNESS: Then there was an amendment to that 21 Excuse me, an additional feature -- it wasn't an 22 amendment -- to that law which allowed then collections from 23 this. 24

MR. STRACHMAN: If I could, Your Honor, it is my

5

4

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

understanding that in October of last year, of 2000, Congress amended the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act and said -allowed for five judgments that were then -- had been obtained from the District Court. Those five judgment creditors would be paid the compensatory damages only. As I understand, four of them are against Iran, one was against Cuba.

The structure that was -- Dr. Clawson spoke about was accurate in the sense that there was a provision in the law that allowed for a 10 percent addition to the compensatory damage if the claimants transferred their right to punitive damages to the United States government. There are no -- has been no amendment to that law, to the best of my understanding; so no claims who have been made after -- excuse me, who received judgments that are not included in those five cases Including the Elahi case which come down, was have been paid. heard in December and the -- for instance, and the -- I think that was the first one after the law was passed.

THE WITNESS: I think, sir, there were a couple of other cases cited that in the event of judgments, payment could I'm not sure about this. That was my impression. be made.

THE COURT: We can look that up. That's very interesting. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Certainly what's gotten all the attention in Iran is the big numbers. What's gotten the attention in Iran is the large judgment amounts.

BY MR. STRACHMAN:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9.

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

So then the effect -- is it fair to say there is an effect to a large punitive damage award?

The large punitive damage awards gets attention in the Iranian newspapers and the foreign ministry regularly gets excoriated for not having figured out to way to stop this, and the United States gets attacked and there is discussion in the Iranian press as to whether or not Iran's national interests are best served by continuing to have -- its refusal to have dialogue with the United States; and it has helped in the process over the last year and a half of making the question of relations with the United States a matter of vigorous debate inside Iran.

Okay.

I believe that's all, Your Honor. MR. STRACHMAN:

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Dr. Clawson.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.

(Witness excused.)

MR. STRACHMAN: Your Honor, that's all we have today in terms of the expert witnesses on the issue of liability. We initially thought we would have four witnesses. We have sort of tailored that back to three. We would ask -- I would ask if we could move to admit some of the other exhibits that are on our list.

THE COURT: Let's talk about them.